

**Bids and Awards Committee for Consulting Services (BAC-C)**

**Procurement of Consulting Services for the Preparation of the Comprehensive Master Development Plan for Camp John Hay, Baguio City**

**RESOLUTION NO. 2025-006**  
**(Declaration of Shortlisted Consultants)**

**WHEREAS**, the Bases Conversion and Development Authority (BCDA) is mandated under Republic Act No. 7227, as amended by Republic Act No. 7917, to accelerate the sound and balanced conversion into alternative productive uses of the Clark and Subic military reservations and their extensions, to raise funds by the sale of portions of Metro Manila Military Camps and to apply said funds for the development and conversion into alternative productive uses of these properties;

**WHEREAS**, on **01 February 2025**, the BCDA, through its Bids and Awards Committee for Consulting Services (BAC-C), posted a Request for Expression of Interest (REI) including the bidding documents on PhilGEPS, the BCDA Website, and in a conspicuous place within the premises of BCDA for the **Procurement of Consulting Services for the Preparation of the Comprehensive Master Development Plan for Camp John Hay, Baguio City under Section 10 - Competitive Bidding of the Revised Implementing Rules and Regulations (IRR) of Republic Act (R.A.) No. 9184**, with an Approved Budget for the Contract (ABC) in the amount of **Pesos: Forty-Five Million and 00/100 (PhP 45,000,000.00)**, inclusive of all applicable taxes and fees;

**WHEREAS**, in response to the said advertisement of the REI and bidding documents, five (5) prospective bidders submitted their Eligibility Documents for the **Procurement of Consulting Services for the Preparation of the Comprehensive Master Development Plan for Camp John Hay, Baguio City** prior to the set deadline for submission on 07 March 2025, at 9:00 a.m., to wit:

- 1) RO Architectonics Design Studio;
- 2) Palafox Associates;
- 3) Projects Design Plus Architecture Management Corp.;
- 4) SyCip Gorres Velayo & Co., Ove Arup and Partners Hong Kong Limited - Philippines Branch, KMC Savills, Inc. (Joint Venture); and
- 5) Planning and Development Research Foundation, Inc. (PLANADES)

**WHEREAS**, Section 30.1 of the Revised IRR of R.A. No. 9184 provides:

*"xxx... the BAC shall check the submitted documents of each bidder against a checklist of required documents to ascertain if they are all present, using a non-discretionary "pass/fail" criterion, as stated in the Instructions to Bidders. If a bidder submits the required document, it shall be rated "passed" for that particular requirement. In this regard, bids that fail to include any requirement or are incomplete or patently insufficient shall be considered as "failed." xxx"*

**WHEREAS**, on 07 March 2025, the Eligibility Documents of the five (5) prospective bidders were opened and subjected to a preliminary evaluation by determining the presence or absence of the required documents using the non-discretionary “pass/fail” criterion;

**WHEREAS**, the submissions of Palafox Associates, Projects Design Plus Architecture Management Corp., SyCip Gorres Velayo & Co., Ove Arup and Partners Hong Kong Limited - Philippines Branch, KMC Savills, Inc. (Joint Venture), and Planning and Development Research Foundation, Inc. (PLANADES) were subjected to detailed evaluation, while RO Architectonics Design Studio was declared “ineligible” to further participate in the bidding on the following grounds:

REQUIREMENTS	ADVERSE FINDINGS
<b>1. PhilGEPS Certificate of Registration</b>	<p>RO Architectonics Design Studio submitted an “Application for PhilGEPS registration” with “pending approval” status.</p> <p>This results in non-compliance with Section 2.1(a)(i) of the Bidding Documents which requires submission of Class “A” Documents (Legal Documents), including PhilGEPS Certificate of Registration and Membership.</p>
<b>2. Curriculum vitae for Team Leader - Senior Master Planner</b>	<p>The Curriculum Vitae for Team Leader - Senior Master Planner is not signed by the nominated key staff and authorized representative of the firm.</p> <p>This results in non-compliance with the prescribed format under Eligibility Form No. 6A which states that the form must be duly signed by the nominated key staff and authorized representative of the firm.</p>
<p><b>3. Curriculum Vitae of the following key personnel:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• EF 6B Assistant Team Leader - Financial &amp; Economic Analyst</li> <li>• EF 6D Senior Financial/Economic Analyst</li> <li>• EF 6E Real Estate/Business Development Specialist</li> <li>• EF 6F Environmental Management Specialist</li> </ul>	<p>The Curriculum Vitae of the ff. key personnel were not signed by the authorized representative of the firm:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Assistant Team Leader - Financial &amp; Economic Analyst</li> <li>• Senior Financial/Economic Analyst</li> <li>• Real Estate/Business Development Specialist</li> <li>• Environmental Management Specialist</li> <li>• Forest Management Specialist</li> <li>• Transport &amp; Logistics Planner</li> <li>• Lead Architect</li> <li>• Lead Project Engineer</li> </ul> <p>This results in non-compliance with the prescribed format under Eligibility Forms nos. E6B, 6D, 6E, 6F, 6G, 6I, 6K, and 6L which states that the forms must be duly signed by the nominated key staff <u>and</u> authorized representative of the firm.</p>



<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• EF 6G Forest Management Specialist</li> <li>• EF 6I Transport &amp; Logistics Planner.</li> <li>• EF 6K Lead Architect</li> <li>• EF 6L Lead Project Engineer</li> </ul>	
<p><b>4. Curriculum Vitae</b> of the following key personnel:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• EF 6H Tourism Development Specialist</li> <li>• EF 6J Community Development Specialist</li> </ul>	<p>No Curriculum Vitae was submitted for:</p> <p>EF 6H Tourism Development Specialist EF 6J Community Development Specialist</p> <p>Section II. 8.4. of the Bidding Documents states that:</p> <p>“Failure to submit a requirement, or an incomplete or patently insufficient submission, shall be considered “failed” for the particular eligibility requirement concerned. If a prospective bidder is rated “failed” in any of the eligibility requirements, he shall be considered ineligible to participate in the bidding.”</p>

**WHEREAS**, Section II (Eligibility Documents), Item No. 8.4 of the Bidding Documents provides that, an “incomplete or patently insufficient” submission shall be considered “failed” for the particular eligibility requirement concerned and is therefore “ineligible” to participate in the bidding. Pertinent provisions thereof are as follows:

*8.4. The eligibility of each prospective bidder shall be determined by examining each bidder's eligibility requirements or statements against a checklist of requirements, using non-discretionary “pass/fail” criterion, as stated in the Request for Expression of Interest, and shall be determined as either “eligible” or “ineligible.” If a prospective bidder submits the specific eligibility document required, he shall be rated “passed” for that particular requirement. In this regard, failure to submit a requirement, or an incomplete or patently insufficient submission, shall be considered “failed” for the particular eligibility requirement concerned. If a prospective bidder is rated “passed” for all the eligibility requirements, he shall be considered eligible to participate in the bidding, and the BAC shall mark the set of eligibility documents of the prospective bidder concerned as “eligible.” If a prospective bidder is rated “failed” in any of the eligibility requirements, he shall be considered ineligible to participate in the bidding, and the BAC shall mark the set of eligibility documents of the prospective bidder concerned as “ineligible.” In either case, the BAC chairperson or his duly designated authority shall countersign the markings.*

**WHEREAS**, this provision is based on Section 30.1 of the Revised IRR of R. A. No. 9184, which states that:

*30.1 The BAC shall open the first bid envelopes in public to determine each bidder's compliance with the documents required to be submitted for eligibility and for the technical requirements, as prescribed in this IRR.*

*For this purpose, the BAC shall check the submitted documents of each bidder against a checklist of required documents to ascertain if they are all present, using a non-discretionary "pass/fail" criterion, as stated in the Instructions to Bidders.*

*If a bidder submits the required document, it shall be rated "passed" for that particular requirement. In this regard, bids that fail to include any requirement or are incomplete or patently insufficient shall be considered as "failed." Otherwise, the BAC shall rate the said first bid envelope as "passed." (a)*

**WHEREAS**, the BAC-C issued a Notice of Ineligibility to **RO Architectonics Design Studio** on 07 March 2025 and was given three (3) calendar days from the date of Notice to file a request for reconsideration or until 10 March 2025, in accordance with Section 55.1 of the Revised IRR of R. A. No. 9184;

**WHEREAS**, on 10 March 2025, BCDA received a letter from **RO Architectonics Design Studio** requesting reconsideration for the adverse findings of the BAC-C, which earlier declared their firm as "ineligible" for non-compliance with the requirements specified in the bidding documents;

**WHEREAS**, on 17 March 2025, the BAC-C responded to **RO Architectonics Design Studio**, denying their request for reconsideration;

**WHEREAS**, the submitted Eligibility Documents of the four (4) prospective bidders were subjected to detailed evaluation and shortlisting on 08 March 2025 to 19 March 2025 in accordance with Section 24 of the Revised IRR of R.A. No. 9184, and using the criteria and rating system for shortlisting as provided under Clause 9.2 of Eligibility Data Sheet (EDS) for the consulting services;

**WHEREAS**, the Technical Working Group (TWG) presented the results of the evaluation of the Eligibility Documents and shortlisting, and the BAC-C deliberated on the results on 20 March 2025;

**WHEREAS**, the results of the Evaluation/Eligibility Check and Shortlisting likewise showed that two (2) out of four (4) prospective bidders were rated "PASSED" and garnered a total score above the required minimum score of 70%, to wit:



PROPONENT	A. Relevant Experience of the Firm	B. Qualification of the Key Personnel to be Assigned to the Consulting Services	C. Current Workload of Key Personnel Relative to Capacity	TOTAL	RATING
1. Palafox Associates	With adverse findings	40.22%	9.50%	N/A	FAILED
2. Projects Design Plus Architecture Management Corp.	37.50%	41.06%	8.00%	86.56%	PASSED
3. SyCip Gorres Velayo & Co., Ove Arup and Partners Hong Kong Limited - Philippines Branch, KMC Savills, Inc. (Joint Venture)	42.75%	38.21%	7.00%	87.96%	PASSED
4. Planning and Development Research Foundation, Inc. (PLANADES)	With adverse findings	With adverse findings	9.50%	N/A	FAILED

**WHEREAS**, the results of the Evaluation/Eligibility Check and Shortlisting show that two (2) out of four (4) prospective bidders were rated **“FAILED”** due to the absence of the required documents and/or non-compliance with the requirements provided under the Eligibility Documents and Terms of Reference (TOR) for the Consulting Services, and 2016 Revised IRR of R.A. No. 9184, to wit:

PROPONENT	ADVERSE FINDINGS
1. Palafox Associates	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No master development planning project with international scope was submitted.</li> <li>No master development planning project with a cost of at least 60% of the ABC was submitted.</li> </ul>

	<b>[Non-compliance with Section 16.3 of the TOR]</b>
<b>2. Planning and Development Research Foundation, Inc. (PLANADES)</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>No master development planning project with international scope was submitted.</li></ul> <b>[Non-compliance with Section 16.3 of the TOR]</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>The nominated Team Leader-Senior Master Planner did not submit a master development planning project with international scope.</li><li>The nominated Senior Financial/Economic Analyst did not submit any local and/or international master development planning project.</li></ul> <b>[Non-compliance with Item 11 (Manning Requirement) of the TOR]</b>

**NOW, THEREFORE**, foregoing considered, We, the Members of the BAC-C, hereby **RESOLVE** to recommend the following:

1. Declare **Projects Design Plus Architecture Management Corp.** and **SyCip Gorres Velayo & Co., Ove Arup and Partners Hong Kong Limited - Philippines Branch, KMC Savills, Inc. (Joint Venture)** as **SHORTLISTED** and **ELIGIBLE** to proceed to the next stage of the bidding for the consulting services pursuant to Section 24.5.4 of 2016 Revised IRR of R. A. No. 9184;
2. Declare **Palafox Associates** and **Planning and Development Research Foundation, Inc. (PLANADES)**, which were rated **"FAILED"**, as **INELIGIBLE** to participate in the bidding, due to the non-compliance with the requirements under the Eligibility Data Sheet, Terms of Reference and 2016 Revised IRR of R. A. No. 9184;
3. Issue a Notice of Eligibility and Shortlisting to the aforementioned Eligible and Shortlisted prospective bidders informing the latter to attend the Pre-bid Conference for the **Procurement of Consulting Services for the Preparation of the Comprehensive Master Development Plan for Camp John Hay, Baguio City**, once the shortlisted bidders are approved by HoPE; and
4. Issue a Notice of Ineligibility to the aforementioned ineligible prospective bidders.

**RESOLVED**, at the BCDA Corporate Center Office this 20th day of March 2025.



**BIDS AND AWARDS COMMITTEE FOR CONSULTING SERVICES (BAC-C)**

  
**ATTY. GISELA Z. KALALO**  
Chairperson

  
**ENGR. RICHARD BRIAN M. CEPE**  
Vice Chairperson

*On Official Business*  
**MADONNA M. CINCO**  
Member

  
**ERWIN KENNETH R. PERALTA**  
Member

  
**ATTY. CHRISTIAN T. DULDULAO**  
Member

  
**DARRYL F. GARCIA**  
Provisional Member

Approved by:

  
**JOSHUA M. BINGCANG**  
President and CEO