

Bids and Awards Committee for Consulting Services (BAC-C)

**Consulting Services for the Detailed Architectural and Engineering Design (DAED) for
New Clark City (NCC) Projects (Remaining Roads and Utilities)**

**RESOLUTION NO. 2023-012
(Declaration of Shortlisted Consultants)**

WHEREAS, the Bases Conversion and Development Authority (BCDA) is mandated under Republic Act No. 7227, as amended by Republic Act No. 7917, to accelerate the sound and balanced conversion into alternative productive uses of the Clark and Subic military reservations and their extensions, to raise funds by the sale of portions of Metro Manila Military Camps and to apply said funds for the development and conversion into alternative productive uses of these properties;

WHEREAS, on **2 September 2023**, the BCDA, through its Bids and Awards Committee for Consulting Services (BAC-C), posted a Request for Expression of Interest (REI) including the bidding documents in PhilGEPS, the BCDA Website, and in a conspicuous place within the premises of BCDA for the **Consulting Services for the Detailed Architectural and Engineering Design (DAED) for New Clark City (NCC) Projects (Remaining Roads and Utilities)** under **Section 10 - Competitive Bidding of the 2016 Revised Implementing Rules and Regulations (RIRR) of Republic Act No. 9184**, with an Approved Budget for the Contract (ABC) in the amount of **Pesos: One Hundred Seventeen Million Two Hundred Seventy Three Thousand Five Hundred Twenty and 00/100 (PhP 117,273,520.00)**, inclusive of all applicable taxes and fees;

WHEREAS, in response to the said advertisement of the REI and bidding documents, five (5) prospective bidders submitted their Eligibility Documents for the **Consulting Services for the Detailed Architectural and Engineering Design (DAED) for New Clark City (NCC) Projects (Remaining Roads and Utilities)** prior to the set deadline for submission on 28 September 2023 at 9:00 a.m., to wit:

- 1) TCGI, Inc.,
- 2) Urban Integrated Consultants, Inc. and Renardet, S.A. (Joint Venture) ,
- 3) Filipinas Dravo Corporation and Schema Engineers & Associates (Joint Venture),
- 4) Meinhardt Philippines, Inc.,
- 5) Asyana Construction Consultancy and Foresight Development; and Surveying Company (Joint Venture).

WHEREAS, Section 30.1 of the 2016 Revised IRR of RA 9184 provides:

“xxx... the BAC shall check the submitted documents of each bidder against a checklist of required documents to ascertain if they are all present, using a non-discretionary “pass/fail” criterion, as stated in the Instructions to Bidders. If a bidder submits the required document, it shall be rated “passed” for that particular requirement. In this regard, bids that fail to include any requirement or are incomplete or patently insufficient shall be considered as “failed.” xxx”

WHEREAS, the submitted Eligibility Documents of the said prospective bidders were subjected to detailed evaluation and shortlisting on 28 September 2023 to 05 October 2023 in accordance with Section 24 of the 2016 RIRR of R.A. 9184, and using the criteria and rating system for shortlisting as provided under item number 9.2 of Eligibility Data Sheet (EDS) for the project;

WHEREAS, the Technical Working Group (TWG), presented the results of the evaluation of the Eligibility Documents and shortlisting, and the BAC-C deliberated on the results on 06 October 2023;

WHEREAS, the results of the Evaluation/Eligibility Check and Shortlisting show that four (4) out of five (5) prospective bidders were rated **“FAILED”** due to the absence of the required documents and/or non-compliance with the requirements provided under the Eligibility Documents and Terms of Reference (TOR) for the Consulting Services, and 2016 RIRR of R.A. 9184, to wit:

PROSPECTIVE BIDDER	ADVERSE FINDINGS
TCGI, Inc.	<p>1. Failed to meet the minimum qualifications of the consultant</p> <p>Noncompliance with section 11.2 of the Terms of Reference which provides that, “Should have undertaken at least one (1) detailed architectural and engineering design of road/highway project for the last five (5) years whose value must be at least fifty percent (50%) of the ABC.xxx”] The contract duration concluded in January 2018 thereby, not meeting the five (5)-year</p>

	<p>cut-off requirement in the Terms of Reference.</p> <p>2. Failed to meet the minimum required score of 14% for the criterion on Relevant Experience of Consultant</p> <p>[Noncompliance with Clause 9.2 of the Eligibility Data Sheet provides that, "The prospective Consultant(s) must pass the required minimum score of seventy percent (70%) on each criterion to be shortlisted"]</p>
<p>Filipinas Dravo Corporation and Schema Engineers & Associates (Joint Venture)</p>	<p>Failed to declare all its ongoing contracts</p> <p>[Noncompliance with Section 24.1(a)(iv) of the 2016 RIRR of RA9184 which provides that, "Statement of the bidder of all its ongoing and completed government and private contracts, including contracts awarded but not yet started, if any, etc"]</p> <p>Noncompliance with Clause 2.1(a)(ii) of the Eligibility Data Sheet which provides that, "The statement of all ongoing and completed government and private contracts shall include all such contracts within five (5) years prior to the deadline for the submission and receipt of eligibility documents"]</p> <p>Filipinas Dravo has two (2) ongoing contracts with BCDA and this was not included in EF3B.</p>
<p>Meinhardt Philippines, Inc.</p>	<p>1. Failed to meet the minimum required score of 14% for the criterion on Relevant Experience of the Consultant [Noncompliance with Clause 9.2 of the Eligibility Data Sheet provides that, "The prospective Consultant(s) must pass the required minimum score of seventy percent (70%) on each criterion to be shortlisted] The prospective bidder only scored 10% for the criteria. The projects declared in EF3A are not related to DAED of roads/highway projects.</p> <p>2. Failed to meet the minimum qualifications of the consultant</p> <p>[Noncompliance with section 11.2 of the Terms of</p>

	<p>Reference which provides that, “Should have undertaken at least one (1) detailed architectural and engineering design of road/highway project for the last five (5) years whose value must be at least fifty percent (50%) of the ABC.xxx”] The contract submitted is not related to DAED of roads projects and its amount (PhP30M) is below 50% of the ABC.</p> <p>3. Failed to meet the minimum required score of 42% for the criterion on Qualifications of the Nominated Key Personnel</p> <p>[Noncompliance with Clause 9.2 of the Eligibility Data Sheet provides that, “The prospective Consultant(s) must pass the required minimum score of seventy percent (70%) on each criterion to be shortlisted,</p> <p>Noncompliance with Clause 1.2 of the Eligibility Data Sheet, and</p> <p>Noncompliance with the requirements for proposed professional staff as set forth in the terms of reference thereby, rendering their submissions as incomplete and patently insufficient in accordance with section 8.4 which provides that, “failure to submit a requirement, or an incomplete or patently insufficient submission, shall be considered “failed” for the particular eligibility requirement concerned”] due to the nominees for the following position scoring low, or non-submission of supporting documents to substantiate their statements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">a. Failure of the proposed Lead Highway Engineer, Lead Transport Engineer and Lead Structural/ Bridge Engineer to sign their respective CVs.b. Failure of the proposed Lead Geotechnical/ Foundation Engineer to attach supporting documents.c. Failure of the proposed Lead Materials Engineer to attach supporting documents, i.e. PRC license and DPWH accreditation as Materials Engineer II in its EF 6.
--	---

	<p>d. Failure of the proposed Landscape Architect to sign its EF 6 and to include any supporting documents, i.e. PRC license, and training certificates.</p> <p>e. Failure of the proposed BIM Specialist to attach supporting documents in its EF 6.</p>
<p>Asyana Construction Consultancy and Foresight Development and Surveying Company (Joint Venture)</p>	<p>Failed to meet the minimum required score of 42% for the criterion on Qualifications of the Nominated Key Personnel</p> <p>[Noncompliance with Clause 9.2 of the Eligibility Data Sheet provides that, "The prospective Consultant(s) must pass the required minimum score of seventy percent (70%) on each criterion to be shortlisted] due to the nominees for the following position scoring low:</p> <p>a. Project Manager has less than the minimum required experience for the nominated position in DAED-related projects.</p> <p>b. There are no EF6 submitted for the proposed professional staff for Lead Electrical Engineer, Lead Materials Engineer, Lead Landscape Architect, and Building Information Modeling Specialist.</p>

WHEREAS, the results of the Evaluation/Eligibility Check and Shortlisting likewise show that one (1) prospective bidder was rated "PASSED" and garnered a total score above the required minimum score of 70%, to wit:

PROPONENT	A. Relevant Experience of the Consultant	B. Qualification of the Key Personnel to be Assigned to the Consulting Services	C. Current Workload of Key Personnel Relative to Capacity	TOTAL	RATING
1. Urban Integrated	20.00%	46.02%	17.60%	83.62%	PASSED

Consultants, Inc. and Renardet, S.A. (Joint Venture)					
---	--	--	--	--	--

NOW, THEREFORE, foregoing considered, We, the Members of the BAC-C, hereby **RESOLVE** to recommend the following:

- 1) Declare **Urban Integrated Consultant, Inc. and Renardet, S.A. (Joint Venture)**, who were rated "**PASSED**", as **ELIGIBLE and SHORTLISTED** to proceed to the next stage of the bidding for the consulting services pursuant to Section 24.5.4 of 2016 RIRR of RA 9184; and
- 2) Declare **Asyana Construction Consultancy and Foresight Development and Surveying Company (Joint Venture), Meinhardt Philippines, Inc., Filipinas Dravo Corporation and Schema Engineers & Associates (Joint Venture), and TCGI, Inc.**, who were rated "**FAILED**", as **INELIGIBLE** due to the non-compliance with the requirements provided under the Eligibility Documents and Terms of Reference for the consulting services, and 2016 RIRR of RA 9184.
- 3) Issue a Notice of Eligibility and Shortlisting to the aforementioned Eligible and Shortlisted prospective bidder to attend the Pre-bid Conference for the **Consulting Services for the Detailed Architectural and Engineering Design (DAED) for New Clark City (NCC) Projects (Remaining Roads and Utilities)**;
- 4) Issue a Notice of Ineligibility to the aforementioned Ineligible bidders; and
- 5) Approval by the Office of President and Chief Executive Officer of the foregoing findings.

RESOLVED, at the BCDA Corporate Center Office this 6th day of October 2023.

BIDS AND AWARDS COMMITTEE FOR CONSULTING SERVICES (BAC-C)

VIRGIL M. ALVAREZ
Chairperson

On leave
MADONNA M. CINCO
Vice Chairperson

ENGR. EDUARDO R. ROSQUETA
Member

ATTY. CHRISTIAN T. DULDULAO
Member

ENGR. JAY JAWORSKI TIAMSON
Provisional Member

ENGR. RYAN P. PINEDA
Provisional Member

Approved by:

HEDDA Y. RULONA

Office of the President and Chief Executive Officer



BAC for Consultancy Services



BACC2023 - 0263