

Special Bids and Awards Committee (SBAC) for New Clark City (NCC) Access Roads

**CONSTRUCTION OF AIRPORT TO NCC ACCESS ROAD PHASE 3
(Calumpang-Dapdap Segment)
at New Clark City**

**RESOLUTION NO. 04
(Declaration of LCB)**

The BASES CONVERSION AND DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY (BCDA) has put on bid the **CONSTRUCTION OF AIRPORT TO NCC ACCESS ROAD PHASE 3 (Calumpang-Dapdap Segment)**, located at New Clark City, Capas, Tarlac with an Approved Budget for the Contract (ABC) in the amount of **Two Billion One Hundred Sixty-Nine Million Four Hundred Sixty-Three Thousand Seven Hundred Fourteen Pesos and ¹⁸/₁₀₀ (Php2,169,463,714.18)**, inclusive of all applicable taxes and fees, under a modified payment scheme.

WHEREAS, the SBAC for NCC Access Roads, through its Secretariat, advertised the Invitation to Bid on 14 January 2019 in newspaper of nationwide circulation, and on January 14 to 20, 2019 in the following:

- a. DBM Philippine Government Electronic Procurement System (PhilGEPS);
- b. BCDA Website; and
- c. In a conspicuous place reserved for the purpose in the premises of BCDA.

WHEREAS, in response to the said advertisements, twenty-seven (27) interested bidders bought the bid documents, namely:

1. China Geo-Engineering (Phil.) Corporation
2. E.C. De Luna Construction Corporation
3. R-II Builders
4. Prime Metro BMD Corporation
5. China International Water & Electric Corporation, MSB Vitug & Grace Construction Corporation (Consortium)
6. JASA Builders
7. MAC Builders
8. C.B. Garay Philwide Builders
9. China First Highway Engineering Co., Ltd.
10. Baque Corporation
11. Dumduma Construction
12. Megawide Construction Corporation
13. China Wuyi Co., Ltd.
14. A.G. Araja Construction and Development Corporation

15. Pacific Concrete Products, Inc.
16. SB Construction Corp.
17. Foundation Specialists, Inc.
18. The 13th Bureau of China City Construction Phils. Corporation
19. R.D. Policarpio & Co., Inc.
20. J.C. Rodriguez Construction Corporation
21. PT Wijaya Karya Persero TBK Indonesia, Harbor Star Shipping Services Inc., and Ronmark Construction (Consortium)
22. Newington Builders, Inc. / Ron Daniell Construction Corporation Joint Venture
23. Maer Summit Konstruct Company
24. Qingdao Municipal Construction Co., Ltd.
25. FFJJ Construction
26. LSD Construction and Supply
27. SCP Construction

WHEREAS, out of twenty-seven (27) interested bidders who bought the bid documents, there were sixteen (16) proponents who submitted their bid proposals prior to the deadline set for the submission of bids, namely:

1. Newington Builders, Inc./Ron Daniell Construction Corporation Joint Venture
2. MAC Builders
3. R.D. Policarpio & Co., Inc.
4. Ciriaco Corporation/China First Highway Engineering Co., Ltd. Consortium
5. Delta/Prime & BMD/Clark Connect 3 Consortium
6. A.M. Oreta & Co., Inc./China Wuyi Co. Ltd. Consortium
7. L.S.D. Construction & Supplies
8. PT. Wijaya Karya Persero TBK Indonesia (Wika)/Harbor Star Shipping Services Inc./Ronmark Construction Consortium
9. Green Asia Construction and Development Corporation/China Geo-Engineering Corporation Consortium
10. JASA Builders, China State Construction, & Keilana Corp. Consortium
11. SCP Construction
12. Haidee Construction & Development Corporation/MSB Vitug Construction/ China International Water & Electric Corporation (CWE) Consortium
13. A.G. Araja Construction and Development Corporation/Qingjian Group Co. Ltd. Consortium
14. Baque Corporation
15. Studio 26 Construction, Inc./Qingdao Municipal Construction Group Co. Ltd. Consortium
16. R-II Builders, Inc.

WHEREAS, during the Opening of Bids, the first envelopes (which contained the eligibility/technical requirements) of the proponents were opened and subjected to a preliminary evaluation which is checking the presence or absence of the required documents using a “pass/fail” criterion;

WHEREAS, after the presence or absence of the required documents was ascertained, the first envelope of PT. Wijaya Karya Persero TBK Indonesia (Wika)/Harbor Star Shipping Services Inc./Ronmark Construction Consortium, which contained their eligibility/technical requirements, was rated “FAILED” due to the absence of a Special PCAB License for the Consortium;

WHEREAS, the second envelopes, containing the financial proposals of the fifteen (15) proponents who “passed” the preliminary examination of the eligibility/technical requirements were opened. The financial bids of these proponents were rated “PASSED” during the preliminary evaluation of the financial bids and were considered for the detailed evaluation of the eligibility/technical bids and for the comparison of financial bids. Table below shows the bid amount of the 15 proponents (as read):

BIDDERS	BID AMOUNT (AS READ)	RANKING
L.S.D. Construction & Supplies	1,712,697,258.21	1
Baque Corporation	1,729,810,599.63	2
SCP Construction	1,751,488,251.60	3
R-II Builders, Inc.	1,790,640,263.39	4
R.D. Policarpio & Co., Inc.	1,795,125,382.81	5
A.M. Oreta & Co., Inc./China Wuyi Co. Ltd. Consortium	1,860,461,166.88	6
MAC Builders	1,865,659,101.91	7
Delta/Prime & BMD/Clark Connect 3 Consortium	1,911,579,450.86	8
Ciriaco Corporation/China First Highway Engineering Co., Ltd. Consortium	1,914,031,354.00	9
Green Asia Construction and Development Corporation/China Geo-Engineering Corporation Consortium	1,924,273,517.46	10
JASA Builders/China State Construction/Keilana Corporation Consortium	1,931,213,209.09	11
Newington Builders, Inc. / Ron Daniell Construction Corporation Joint Venture	1,948,027,608.20	12
A.G. Araja Construction and Development Corporation/Qingjian Group Co. Ltd. Consortium	1,998,961,368.21	13
Haidee Construction & Development	2,036,831,209.00	14

Corporation/MSB Vitug Construction/ China International Water & Electric Corporation (CWE) Consortium		
Studio 26 Construction, Inc./Qingdao Municipal Construction Group Co. Ltd. Consortium	2,050,253,480.20	15

WHEREAS, the detailed evaluation and verification of the bids of the 15 proponents, whose Eligibility/Technical Requirements and Financial Proposals were rated "PASSED", were conducted on April 15 to May 17, 2019 pursuant to Sec. 30 of the Revised Implementing Rules and Regulations (IRR) of RA 9184 to determine the bidder with the Lowest Calculated Bid (LCB) who shall undergo the post-qualification process. The SBAC found the bids of the following bidders as **non-responsive** due to the following reasons:

BIDDERS	REASONS FOR NON-COMPLIANCE
L.S.D. Construction & Supplies	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The nominated bridge/structural engineer has a total experience of 3.5 years, which is less than the required minimum 5-year work experience as bridge/structural engineer. • One of the nominated Quantity Engineers has 4 years and 5 months work experience only, which is less than the required minimum 5-year experience as Quantity Engineer • The nominated Safety Officer has only 4 years and 4.5 months experience, which is less than the minimum required 5-year work experience as Safety Officer. • The submitted quantities of Part K Items were not compliant with the requirement for the project, to wit: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - SPL 1200 -50 (Shrub Wedellia) 82 pcs.; - SPL 1200-51 (Shrub - Cigar Plant) - 82 pcs.; - SPL 1200-52 (Shrub - Yellow Crinum) - 82 pcs. - SPL 1200-53 (Shrub - Red Costus) - 82

	<p>pcs.</p> <p>The requirement for the project as indicated in ITB, Section 15.2 are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - SPL 1200 -50 (Shrub Wedellia) - 10,196 pcs. pcs.; - SPL 1200-51 (Shrub - Cigar Plant) - 2,448 pcs.; - SPL 1200-52 (Shrub - Yellow Crinum) - 5,608 pcs. - SPL 1200-53 (Shrub - Red Costus) - 5,608 pcs. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No DUPA was submitted for Phase 3 project since all DUPA sheets submitted were for a different project based on the indicated heading/project title, i.e. "Design and Supply, Construction, Installation and Commissioning of the Concepcion -NCC Sub-transmission Line for the New Clark City".
<p>SCP Construction</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The nominated Project Manager has only 5 years experience which is less than the required 10-year work experience as Project Manager. • The submitted quantities of Part K Items were not compliant with ITB, Section 15.2, to wit: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - SPL 1200 -50 (Shrub Wedellia) 82 pcs.; - SPL 1200-51 (Shrub - Cigar Plant) - 82 pcs.; - SPL 1200-52 (Shrub - Yellow Crinum) - 82 pcs. - SPL 1200-53 (Shrub - Red Costus) - 82 pcs. <p>The requirement for the project are as follows:</p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - SPL 1200 -50 (Shrub Wedellia) - 10,196 pcs. pcs.; - SPL 1200-51 (Shrub - Cigar Plant) - 2,448 pcs.; - SPL 1200-52 (Shrub - Yellow Crinum) - 5,608 pcs. - SPL 1200-53 (Shrub - Red Costus) - 5,608 pcs. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No DUPA was submitted for Phase 3 project since all DUPA sheets submitted were for a different project based on the indicated heading/project title, i.e. "Design and Supply, Construction, Installation and Commissioning of the Concepcion -NCC Sub-transmission Line for the New Clark City".
<p>R-II Builders, Inc.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There was no attached/submitted Certificate of Training or proof of DOLE accreditation for the nominated Health and Safety Officer, hence, not compliant with Section 12.1(ii.2) of BDS. • The document attached as supporting document for Truck-mounted Crane 51-60 tons was only the Supplier's Quotation, no proof of ownership or Certificate of Availability of the equipment from the vendor/supplier was attached/ submitted. <p>As specified/indicated under 12.1 (b)(ii.3) of Instructions to Bidders as one of the project requirements, <u>"List of contractor's major equipment units, which are owned, leased, and/or under purchase agreements, supported by proof of ownership, certification of availability of equipment from the equipment lessor/vendor for the duration of project which must meet the minimum requirements for the contract set in the BDS."</u></p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Item 622(3)a (hydroseeding for Overpass 1) which is required for the project under ITB, Section 15.2 was omitted in the submitted BOQ.
<p>R.D. Policarpio & Co., Inc.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The nominated 3 Site Engineers have only a total experience of 4 years and 6 months each, which is less than the minimum required 5-year work experience as Site Engineers. On-going projects with BCDA (Construction of the Terrestrial of the Cable Network Corridor – Segments A & B) have negative slippage, to wit: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Segment A - 1.206% - Segment B - 1.13%
<p>A.M. Oreta & Co., Inc. and China Wuyi Co., Ltd.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A mere Letter of Procurement Intent to procure the following equipment was submitted in lieu of the required Purchase Agreement: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Backhoe Excavator - Backhoe/Loader - Cargo Truck - Crane - Concrete Finisher/Paver - Concrete Pump - Crane, Truck Mounted - Crawler Crane - Crawler Tractor with Dozer - Crawler Loader - Drilling Rig - Dump Truck - Motorize Grader - Pile Vibrator Drive - Pumpcrete, Putmeister - Service Truck - Trailer Dolly - Transit Mixer - Truck Mounted Crane - Vibratory Roller (Tandem Steel) - Vibratory Roller (Tandem Smooth Drim)

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Water Truck - Wheel Loader - Concrete Batching Plant <p>Further, no proof of ownership or Certificate of Availability of the equipment from the vendor was attached.</p> <p>As specified/indicated under 12.1 (b)(ii.3) of Instructions to Bidders as one of the project requirements, <i>"List of contractor's major equipment units, which are <u>owned, leased, and/or under purchase agreements</u>, supported by proof of ownership, certification of availability of equipment from the equipment lessor/vendor for the duration of project which must meet the minimum requirements for the contract set in the BDS."</i></p>
<p>Delta/Prime & BMD/Clark Connect 3 Consortium</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The nominated Bridge/Structural Engineer in the Organizational Chart was indicated as Drainage Engineer in the attached CV. • Only 1 pile vibrator was pledged under Lease Agreement. The requirement for the project is 2 pile vibrators as indicated under Section 12.1(ii.3) of BDS. • The portion/pages of Part K in the BOQ were missing.
<p>Ciriaco Corporation/China First Highway Engineering Co., Ltd. Consortium</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The nominated Materials Engineer II has only a total experience of 2 years and 4 months which is less than the minimum required 5-year work experience as Materials Engineer.
<p>Green Asia Construction and Development Corporation/China Geo-Engineering Corporation Consortium</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There was no attached/submitted COSH Training Certificate or proof of DOLE accreditation for nominated Safety Officer, hence, not compliant with Section 12.1(ii.2) of BDS.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The PRC ID presented for the nominated Geodetic Engineer is of a Civil Engineer.
<p>JASA Builders, China State Construction, & Keilana Corp. Consortium</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The nominated Project Manager has a total work experience of 5 years and 9 months, which is less than the required 10-year work experience as project manager. 1 nominated Quantity Engineer has a total work experience of 3 years and 6 months, which is less than the minimum required 5-year work experience as quantity engineer. 2 nominated Site Engineers have work experiences of 3 years & 5 months and 4 years and 11 months, respectively, which are less than the minimum required 5-year work experience as Site Engineers. The nominated Electrical Engineer has a total of 4 years and 7 months experience only, which is less than the minimum required 5-year work experience as Electrical Engineer. The nominated Geodetic Engineer has a total of 3 years and 4 months experience only, which is less than the minimum required 5-year work experience as Geodetic Engineer. The submitted Bid Form has an incomplete detail specifically on the value/percentage of Performance Security. No attached DUPA for Item#SPL-1200-23 (Shrub - Peanut Plant).
<p>A.G. Araja Construction and Development Corporation/Qingjian Group Co.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No BOQ for Part F (Bridge Construction) was submitted for Phase 3 Project since the heading/title used in the BOQ for

Ltd. Consortium	Part F (Bridge Construction) was for a different project, i.e. "Construction of Airport to NCC Access Road <u>Phase 2</u> ".
Haidee Construction & Development Corporation/MSB Vitug Construction/ China International Water & Electric Corporation (CWE) Consortium	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No Day Works for Materials were submitted for Phase 3 Project since the heading/title used in the submitted "Day Works for Materials" was for a different project, i.e. "Construction of Airport to NCC Access Road <u>Phase 2</u>"
Studio 26 Construction, Inc. / Qingdao Municipal Construction Group Co. Ltd. Consortium	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No attachment for the expired Mayor's Permit as indicated in its PhilGEPS. The nominated Materials Engineer 2 lacks the required minimum 5 years experience as Materials Engineer. (Accreditation date: 4/30/2015) The nominated Safety Officer lacks the required minimum 5 years experience as Safety Officer. (COSH Certificate of Completion date: 7/14/2018)

WHEREAS, the bidders with **responsive** bids were ranked in ascending order based on their financial bids or total bid price as calculated, evaluated and corrected for computational errors, and other bid modifications to identify the Lowest Calculated Bid (LCB) pursuant to Section 32.2.4 of the IRR of RA 9184:

RANK	BIDDERS	BID AMOUNT (as calculated)
1	Baque Corporation	Php 1,729,810,633.76
2	MAC Builders	Php 1,865,696,529.34
3	Newington Builders, Inc. / Ron Daniell Construction Corporation Joint Venture	Php 1,948,027,608.62

NOW, THEREFORE, after a careful evaluation and thorough deliberation of the bids submitted, **We**, the Members of the Special Bids and Awards Committee (SBAC) for NCC Access Roads, hereby **RESOLVE** as it is hereby **RESOLVED** to recommend the following:

- To declare **BAQUE CORPORATION** as the **bidder with the Lowest Calculated Bid (LCB)** for the Construction of Airport to NCC Access Road Project Phase 3 (Dapdap-Calumpang Segment) at New Clark City subject to post-qualification;

2. To conduct Post Qualification on **BAQUE CORPORATION**, being the bidder with LCB.

RESOLVED, at the BCDA Corporate Center Office, this 20th day of May 2019.

SPECIAL BIDS AND AWARDS COMMITTEE (BAC) FOR NCC ACCESS ROADS


AILEEN ANUNCIACION R. ZOSA
Chairperson

On Leave
ARREY A. PEREZ
Vice Chairperson


RICHARD BRIAN M. CEPE
Member


MARIA JOSEFINA V. PE
Member


ATTY. MARICEL C. SANTOS
Member

Approved by:


VIVENCIO B. DIZON
President and CEO

